

Note

The enzymic determination of the enantiomers of galactose

Robert J. Sturgeon

Department of Biological Science, Heriot-Watt University, Riccarton, Edinburgh EH14 4AS (Great Britain)

(Received June 13th, 1989; accepted for publication, September 19th, 1989)

The determination of the enantiomeric configuration of sugars in polysaccharides or glycoconjugates is a problem when both enantiomers are present. Enzymic assays have been used to establish the D configuration of glucose and mannose¹. Chemical methods involve g.l.c. of the acetylated chiral oct-2-yl glycosides^{2,3} and of the trimethylsilylated glycosides obtained after solvolysis of polysaccharides with chiral butan-2-ol⁴. Resolution of derivatives of enantiomers has been achieved by g.l.c. using chiral stationary phases^{5,6}.

D-Galactose is widely distributed in Nature, but the L form is much less common. L-Galactose was first reported⁷ as a constituent of the galactan of the snail *Helix pomatia*, and the content was based on the optical rotation of the hydrolysate. Both enantiomers are present in the storage galactans in the spawn of *Biophalaria glabrata*⁸ and four other snails⁹, and in polysaccharides isolated from the extracellular mucilages of the unicellular fresh-water alga *Porphyridium aeruginum*¹⁰ and the unicellular marine alga *P. cruentum*¹¹. The latter polysaccharides also contain D-glucose, D-xylose, and D-glucuronic acid. The content of the L form, isolated chromatographically from the hydrolysed polysaccharide of *P. aeruginum*, was estimated from the difference between the total reducing power and the amount of the D form determined using D-galactose oxidase. L-Galactose, present in the hydrolysates of polysaccharides isolated from flax seed, corn cob, corn root, and the cell walls of *Acer pseudoplatanus*, was determined¹² by g.l.c. of the trimethylsilyl derivative after oxidation of the D form with galactose dehydrogenase.

The approach now reported involves D-galactose dehydrogenase and L-fucose dehydrogenase for the determination of the proportions of the enantiomers of galactose. D-Galactose dehydrogenase (D-galactose:NAD 1-oxidoreductase, EC 1.1.1.48) was originally described¹³ for the oxidation of D-galactose and several related monosaccharides in the presence of NAD and has been used for the quantitation of D-galactose in the absence of L-arabinose, D-fucose, and, to a lesser extent, 2-amino-2-deoxy-D-galactose^{14,15}.

NAD-dependent L-fucose dehydrogenase (6-deoxy-L-galactose:NAD⁺ 1-oxidoreductase EC 1.1.1.122) oxidises L-fucose, L-galactose, and D-arabinose. Therefore, in the absence of L-fucose and D-arabinose, the levels of L-galactose can be measured on the basis of the reaction:

TABLE I

Content of D- and L-galactose in polysaccharides from various sources

Source	Enantiomer (%)		Literature		Ref.
	This work D	L	D	L	
<i>H. pomatia</i>	78	22	85	15	7
<i>B. glabrata</i>	100	0	99.9	0.1	16
<i>P. aerugineum</i>	70	30	75	25	10
<i>P. cruentum</i>	62	38	67	33	10



Although the relative rates of reaction and values of K_m are poorer for L-galactose than for L-fucose, measurement of the initial velocity of the reaction allows L-galactose to be determined readily. A linear relationship between the velocity of the enzymic reaction (A_{340}/min) was observed for the range 0–2.0 μmol of L-galactose.

Examination of hydrolysates with the fucose dehydrogenase system confirmed the presence of L-galactose in the polysaccharides from *H. pomatia*, *P. aerugineum*, and *P. cruentum*, but its absence from that of *B. glabrata* (Table I). Correa *et al.*⁸ reported > 36% of L-galactose in the galactan of *B. glabrata*, whereas Bretting *et al.*⁹ found only 12.2%, although this was based on the use of D-galactose oxidase and the $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ value of the galactan. More recently, Bretting *et al.*¹⁶ found L-galactose to be absent from *B. glabrata* galactan on the basis of g.l.c. of trifluoroacetylated methyl glycosides, using a chiral stationary phase. An L-galactose content of 12.5–14.3% for the *H. pomatia* galactan has been calculated from the optical rotation of a hydrolysate of the polysaccharide^{7,9,17}. Widely divergent DL-ratios have been reported for the galactose in the polysaccharide from *P. cruentum*, namely, 10:90 by Medcalf *et al.*¹¹ and 67:33 by Percival *et al.*¹⁰.

The results of the study now reported indicate that the proportions of the D and L forms of galactose can be measured using the two enzymic procedures, provided that the action pattern of the enzymes towards other monosaccharides is known. The D-galactose dehydrogenase from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* used in this study will oxidise L-arabinose, D-fucose, and D-galactose, whereas the enzyme from rat liver¹² will not oxidise L-arabinose, but is strongly inhibited by NADH, one of the products of the reaction¹⁸. The fucose dehydrogenase can be used for the estimation of L-galactose, provided that L-fucose is absent.

EXPERIMENTAL

L-Fucose dehydrogenase from porcine liver was purchased from Sigma, and β -D-galactose dehydrogenase from *P. fluorescens* from Boehringer.

Hydrolysis of polysaccharides.— Samples (~ 5 mg) were hydrolysed in M H_2SO_4 for 1 h at 100° and the pH of each hydrolysate was adjusted to 8.0 with 2M NaOH. Samples were kept at -10° until assayed.

Enzymic estimations. — (a) *D-Galactose*. The galactose dehydrogenase end-point assay involving measurement of the NADH produced at pH 8.6 (ref. 1) was used¹⁴.

(b) *L-Galactose*. The initial absorbance at 340 nm of a mixture of 0.5M Tris buffer (0.75 mL, pH 8.0), NAD (0.1 mL, 10 mg.mL⁻¹), and a solution of L-galactose (either a standard or from a polysaccharide hydrolysate, 0.15 mL) was measured. The reaction was initiated by the addition of L-fucose dehydrogenase (0.02 mL, 0.2 U) and the change in absorbance at 340 nm was measured during 3 min. Using a standard solution of L-galactose, a linear response for the rate of production of NADH was obtained in the range 0.2–2.0 μ mol.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Professor H. Bretting is thanked for supplying samples of *H. pomatia* and *B. glabrata* galactans, and Dr. Elizabeth Percival for samples of polysaccharides from *P. aeruginosa* and *P. cruentum*.

REFERENCES

- 1 C. J. Brigden and S. G. Wilkinson, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 138 (1985) 267–276.
- 2 K. Leontein, B. Lindberg, and J. Lonngren, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 62 (1985) 359–362.
- 3 S. Furn and S. G. Wilkinson, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 139 (1985) 293–297.
- 4 C. G. J. Gerwig, J. P. Kamerling, and J. F. G. Vliegthart, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 77 (1979) 1–7.
- 5 W. A. König, P. Mischnicklubbecke, B. Brassat, S. Lutz, and G. Wenz, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 183 (1988) 11–18.
- 6 W. A. König, I. Benecke, and H. Bretting, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 20 (1981) 693–694.
- 7 D. J. Bell and E. Baldwin, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1941) 125–131.
- 8 J. B. C. Correa, A. Dmytraczenko, and J. H. Duarte, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 3 (1967) 445–452.
- 9 H. Bretting, N. F. Whittaker, E. A. Kabat, K. Königsmann-Lange, and J. Thiem, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 98 (1981) 213–236.
- 10 E. E. Percival and R. A. J. Foyle, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 72 (1979) 165–176.
- 11 D. G. Medcalf, J. R. Scott, J. H. Brannon, G. A. Hemerick, R. L. Cunningham, J. H. Chesson, and J. Shah, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 44 (1975) 87–96.
- 12 R. M. Roberts and E. Harrer, *Phytochemistry*, 12 (1973) 2679–2682.
- 13 K. Wallenfels and G. Kurz, *Biochem. Z.*, 335 (1962) 559–572.
- 14 R. J. Sturgeon, *Methods Carbohydr. Chem.*, 8 (1980) 131–133.
- 15 J. Melrose and R. J. Sturgeon, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 118 (1983) 247–253.
- 16 H. Bretting, G. Jacobs, I. Benecke, W. A. König, and J. Thiem, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 139 (1985) 225–236.
- 17 H. J. Horstmann and M. Geldmacher-Malinckrodt, *Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem.*, 325 (1961) 251–259.
- 18 P. Cuatrecasas and S. Segal, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 241 (1966) 5910–5918.